IN RE APPLICATION NUMBER: 10/687,616 (Conf. No. 9821)
TRANSMITTAL COVER LETTER FOR FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:
Director, U.S. Patent
and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231
Attention: Examiner Corbett Coburn
FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 746-7483
THE SENDER IS: Andrew Van Court
Registration Number 48,506
FISH & NEAVE
1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1105
Tel.: (212) 596-9000
Fax.: (212) 596-9090
CLIENT/MATTER NO. 03043.026
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. February 19, 2002 Date Andrew Van Court
TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER LETTER: 3
DATE: FACSIMILE OPERATOR: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
THIS COMMUNICATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT THE UNAUTHORIZED DISSEMINATION OF THE COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE.
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
WE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE RECEIPT OF THIS TRANSMISSION
SIGNED: DATE:
IF NOT, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE PHONE (212) 596-9300 (ASK FOR "FAX OPERATOR")

ODS-26

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT APPLICATION

Applicants : Richard E. McNutt et al.

Application No. : 09/687,616 Confirmation No. 9821

Filed : October 13, 2000

For : INTERACTIVE WAGERING SYSTEM WITH

TOTALISATOR SELECTION

Examiner : Corbett B. Coburn

Group Art Unit : 3714

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, Virginia 22202

Authorization to Communicate with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the Internet

sir:

The Director of the United States Patent and
Trademark Office is hereby authorized to communicate any
subject matter pertaining to this application by electronic
mail. Applicants understand that a copy of these

communications will be made of record in the application file.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew Van Court

Registration No. 48,506

Agent for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE

Customer No. 1473

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10020

Tel.: (212) 596-9000

Coburn, Corbett

From:

AVanCourt@fishneave.com

Sent:

Thursday, February 13, 2003 2:37 PM

To: Cc: Corbett.Coburn@uspto.gov AVanCourt@fishneave.com

Subject:

09/687,616

Examiner Coburn,

Thank you for letting us participate in an interview with you earlier today. Jim and I found it useful and feel that we are advancing prosecution of this application. In light of our conversation, we drafted two new draft claims that address your concerns regarding the previously proposed claim.

The first draft claim addresses your concerns regarding the term "a wager" and your concerns that each of the multiple wagering pools could be for different wager types (e.g., win, place, or show). We have amended the previously proposed claim to state that a user can create a "particular wager," which should be sufficient to obviate any possible ambiguity regarding this term. We further amended the previously proposed claim to state that each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting "the particular wager" and that the wagering application places "the particular wager" in one of the multiple wagering pools. Therefore, each of the multiple wagering pools cannot be for different wager types. The first draft claim follows:

Draft #1. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place electronic wagers on races with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a particular wager; providing access to multiple wagering pools that are independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting the particular wager; and

using the interactive wagering application to place the particular wager with one of the multiple wagering pools.

We have provided a second draft claim that even further defines the term "a wager". We have amended the previously proposed claim to state that a user can create "a particular wager of a particular type for a particular race." Similar to draft 1, we further amended the previously proposed claim to state that each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting "the particular wager" and that the wagering application places "the particular wager" in one of the multiple wagering pools. Therefore, similar to draft 1, each of the multiple wagering pools cannot be for different wager types. The second draft claim follows:

Draft #2. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place electronic wagers on races with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a particular wager of a particular type for a particular race;

providing access to multiple wagering pools that are independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting the particular wager; and

using the interactive wagering application to place the particular wager with one of the multiple wagering pools.

We look forward to your comments. Specifically, whether the draft claims address your concerns.

Andrew Van Court

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Coburn, Corbett

From:

AVanCourt@fishneave.com

Sent:

Thursday, February 13, 2003 10:08 AM

To:

Corbett.Coburn@uspto.gov

Subject:

09/687,616



Examiner Coburn,

Attached is a MSWord version of our proposed amended claim for this case. If you can't open the file, please let me know and I'll provide it to you in another format.

You can call me at 212.596.9103.

Thanks,

Andrew Van Court Patent Agent Fish & Neave 1. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place an electronic wager on a race with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a wager; providing access to multiple wagering pools that are independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting the wager; and

using the interactive wagering application to place the wager with one of the multiple wagering pools.

Coburn, Corbett

From:

Coburn, Corbett

Sent:

Wednesday, February 19, 2003 10:58 AM

To:

'AVanCourt@fishneave.com'

Subject:

RE: 09/687,616

I have considered your proposed amendments. I think that #1 might be changed as follows:

Draft #1. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place electronic wagers on races with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a wager on a particular proposition; providing access to multiple wagering pools for the particular

proposition that are

independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools for the particular proposition is

capable of accepting the wager on the particular proposition; and
using the interactive wagering application to place
the wager on the particular proposition with one of the multiple wagering pools for the
particular proposition.

I believe this would overcome the 112 rejections. I cannot say that it will overcome the art rejections and certainly it would require a new search. If you decide to make this change, you should point out in your explaination of the amendment that "proposition" is an art-accepted term that includes not only a bet on the outcome of a particular race, but also more exotic bets such as trifectas. While the term may not appear in the specification, I beleive the term is common in the art.

I do not think it will be necessary to use Draft #2 merely to overcome the 112 rejection. Whether using it will help with possible art rejections, I don't know.

It would also help if you would fax me a written authorization to correspond via email. My SPE says I need that for the file. My fax number is 703-746-7483. If I can be of any other assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Corbett Coburn

----Original Message----

From: AVanCourt@fishneave.com [mailto:AVanCourt@fishneave.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2003 2:37 PM

To: Corbett.Coburn@uspto.gov Cc: AVanCourt@fishneave.com

Subject: 09/687,616

Examiner Coburn,

Thank you for letting us participate in an interview with you earlier today. Jim and I found it useful and feel that we are advancing prosecution of this application. In light of our conversation, we drafted two new draft claims that address your concerns regarding the previously proposed claim.

The first draft claim addresses your concerns regarding the term "a wager" and your concerns that each of the multiple wagering pools could be for different wager types (e.g., win, place, or show). We have amended the previously proposed claim to state that a user can create a "particular wager," which should be sufficient to obviate any possible ambiguity regarding this term. We further amended the previously proposed claim to

state that each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting "the particular wager" and that the wagering application places "the particular wager" in one of the multiple wagering pools. Therefore, each of the multiple wagering pools cannot be for different wager types. The first draft claim follows:

Draft #1. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place electronic wagers on races with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a particular wager; providing access to multiple wagering pools that are independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting the particular wager; and

using the interactive wagering application to place the particular wager with one of the multiple wagering pools.

We have provided a second draft claim that even further defines the term "a wager". We have amended the previously proposed claim to state that a user can create "a particular wager of a particular type for a particular race." Similar to draft 1, we further amended the previously proposed claim to state that each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting "the particular wager" and that the wagering application places "the particular wager" in one of the multiple wagering pools. Therefore, similar to draft 1, each of the multiple wagering pools cannot be for different wager types. The second draft claim follows:

Draft #2. A method for allowing a user to use user equipment to place electronic wagers on races with an interactive wagering application, comprising:

using the interactive wagering application to provide the user with an opportunity to create a particular wager of a particular type for a particular race;

providing access to multiple wagering pools that are independent of each other, wherein each of the multiple wagering pools is capable of accepting the particular wager; and

using the interactive wagering application to place the particular wager with one of the multiple wagering pools.

We look forward to your comments. Specifically, whether the draft claims address your concerns.

Andrew Van Court Patent Agent

Fish & Neave